

A Comparison of Green Customer Citizenship Attitudes and Behaviours Among Consumers in South Africa and South Korea

Sam Fullerton^{1*} and Christo Bisschoff²

^{1*} The North-West University, NWU Business School, Potchefstroom, South Africa, Orcid: 0000-0003-2885-6049

² The North-West University, NWU Business School, Potchefstroom, South Africa, Orcid: 0000-0001-6845-3875

Keywords

Green;
Customer citizenship,
behaviour;
Attitudes;
Anti-consumption;
South Korea;
South Africa.

Abstract

Independent samples of 513 consumers in South Africa and 292 consumers in South Korea responded to an invitation-only, Internet-based questionnaire that focused on attitudinal and behavioural issues germane to five constructs related to customer citizenship within the context of green purchasing and green consumption. The five constructs were green mindset, anti-consumption behaviour, consumer coaching, green advocacy, and customer-helping behaviour. Multi-item scales for all five constructs previously shown to produce a high degree of reliability in prior research were gleaned from the literature. Results from South Korea and South Africa were compared. Four of the five scales in each country evidenced high reliability degrees. A comparison of the mean scores documented statistically significant differences between the two countries on each of the five scales. The secondary data favoured South Korea from a green perspective; however, for all five constructs subjected to empirical scrutiny, the results from South Africa produced a significantly higher mean than what was in evidence in the sample of South Korean residents. So, the primary data indicate that South African consumers tend to possess a stronger green disposition (attitude) while concurrently embracing and engaging in anti-consumption,

^{1*}Corresponding Author

E-mail address: fullerto@emich.edu

² E-mail address: Christo.bisschoff@nwu.ac.za

advocacy, consumer coaching, and customer helping (behaviours)
– all in a green context – more so do than do their South Korean
peers.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Green consumption has been a popular topic of conversation and research for the past fifty years. From a practical perspective, green consumption has been defined as the “practice of using eco-friendly products that are harmless to consumers, the eco-system, and the environment” (Geetha & Laxman, 2017, p. 2). It has been further stated that this practice addresses potential ecosystem harm from three perspectives. First, are the negative consequences emanating from undesirable approaches associated with sourcing raw materials and manufacturing finished products for use by buyers; for example, deforestation and carbon emissions are often criticised by consumers, consumer watchdog groups, politicians, the media, and governmental entities. Second, is how products are used; celebrities flying on private jets and consumers driving high-horsepower cars viewed as petrol (gas) guzzlers are just two examples of this phenomenon. Finally, there has been a growing emphasis on how products that have fulfilled their usefulness to the original buyer are disposed of. For example, are they discarded in the weekly trash collection and dumped in a nearby landfill; is food waste composted; are durable goods with a remaining useful life donated to causes that help less fortunate citizens? Based on these examples, it is evident that the critics have a broad array of concerns that they deem potentially problematic for Mother Earth's health and future generations' well-being.

Inherent to this green initiative are two broad considerations: *attitudes and behaviours*. These two phenomena are important as research has shown that “*cultural values influence sustainable consumption*, and then sustainable consumption positively influences consumer well-being” (Minton, et al., 2022, p. 167). So, from an attitudinal perspective, individuals must possess a *green mindset* that leads them to make the decision to personally engage in green consumption so that they themselves can contribute to the cause of helping to protect the environment. The second set of considerations involves overt behaviour on the part of the individual who is seeking to play a direct role in sustainability while concurrently seeking to influence other individuals' purchase behaviour. Included within this realm of overt behaviour is *anti-*

consumption; this facet of consumer decision-making involves the decision to avoid products and brands that are viewed as detrimental to our environment by intentionally omitting them from their own consideration set. Lastly, individuals with a green mindset may interact with other consumers to induce them to behave in a more environmentally responsible manner. These efforts include *green advocacy*, *consumer coaching*, and *customer-helping behaviour*; collectively, these behaviours have been characterised as *customer citizenship* behaviour (Huang, Wei, & Ang, 2022). Each of these constructs represents behaviour whereby an individual provides guidance and insight in an effort to dissuade another consumer from purchasing a product that the influencer deems to be detrimental to the green cause. These vocal consumers may have significant influence within their own affiliative reference groups. There have been calls for more research to close the gap so as to develop a deeper understanding of the antecedents of green consumption. Germane to the current project, one issue of particular interest is the effort by individual consumers to influence the behaviour of other consumers (Cavazos-Arroyo, & Sánchez-Lezama, 2022). The five constructs identified earlier in this paragraph (green mindset, anti-consumption behaviour, green advocacy, consumer coaching, and customer-helping behaviour) represent the five considerations under scrutiny in the current study.

In addition to research on green behaviour by consumers (as well as green behaviour by business enterprises), it has become apparent that today's successful marketers are often engaged in a broader international reach. No longer are firms relegated to a single domestic economy. While large multinational corporations have long sought to be competitive in a multitude of foreign markets, even the smallest mom-and-pop shops have used e-tailing to reach the broader global market. The dilemma for these marketers is that consumers in different countries often behave quite differently when confronted with problems that marketers seek to resolve. There are myriad differences, even among countries that, at face value, would seem to have much in common. Research has long documented differences in behaviour while acknowledging that these behavioural differences may well be traced to differences in attitudes regarding their specific purchase-related decisions. One of those attitudes that has been subjected to scrutiny is a nation's overall mindset regarding the environment and the appropriateness of behaving in ways that assist in efforts to safeguard the planet. Consequently, there has been an effort to document those differences across multiple countries. Yet, that documentation is far from complete. The current study addresses this deficiency, in part, by comparing the results from two countries on each of

the five aforementioned constructs. As such, South Korea and South Africa are compared based on their green mindset, anti-consumption behaviour, green advocacy, consumer coaching behaviour, and customer-helping behaviour – all from a green perspective.

1.2. Problem Statement

As the background narrative indicates, there are two broad issues on which researchers have focused considerable attention over the past fifty years: sustainability and consumer behaviour. More recently, there has been increased attention to two more specific considerations: anti-consumption behaviour and customer citizenship. Furthermore, given the reality that consumers' attitudes and behaviours have been shown to differ substantially from one country to another, researchers have focused more attention on cross-national studies. The current project represents an amalgamation of all five of these elements. Specifically, the problem statement can be characterised as determining the differences in attitudes and behaviours in South Africa and South Korea regarding sustainability-based anti-consumption and customer citizenship behaviours.

1.3. Research Objectives

Two primary and three secondary objectives are associated with the current study. The initial primary objective is to verify the reliability of the five scales that form the foundation for the current project: green mindset, anti-consumption behaviour, green advocacy, consumer coaching, and customer-helping behaviour. This objective involves three secondary objectives: verify the reliability of each of the five scales for the aggregate sample, the South Korean sample, and the sample drawn in South Africa. The second primary objective is to determine the extent to which attitudes and behaviours germane to customer citizenship differ from a green perspective when comparing the results from South Korea to those from South Africa.

2. Literature Review

This review of the literature comprises five distinct sections. First is that of green attitudes that are precursors to customer citizenship behaviours. As such, the focus is on identifying those constructs that can represent a form of green customer citizenship behaviour. This component of the literature review was essential in developing the questionnaire used for data collection in multiple countries – including South Korea and South Africa. Second, is an examination of

numerous independent international indices that measure sustainability issues, such as a nation's carbon footprint on a global basis. Third is a detailed look at the cultural characteristics – as delineated by Hofstede – that can contribute to a theoretical basis for differences between the two countries. The penultimate component is an overview of research and reports on each country that potentially identify differences between residents of South Korea and residents of South Africa regarding green attitudes and green behaviours that fall within the realm of customer citizenship. The final component is an examination of the comparative studies that incorporated both countries on which this project focuses (and perhaps other countries as well). Combined, these last four rounds were meant to identify which country is winning this “bilateral fight” towards sustainability based on the available secondary data.

2.1. Customer Citizenship Considerations

A rapidly growing topic for research in the B2C market is customer citizenship. In an increasingly competitive environment, customer citizenship behaviour has become increasingly important in terms of firm performance (Halbesleben & Buckley, 2004), an organization's competitive position (Van Tonder & Petzer, 2018), and the sustainability of a customer-brand relationship (Liu et al., 2020). So, this literature review begins with an examination of the broader concept of customer citizenship.

Customer citizenship has been defined as a bundle of customers' positive, voluntary, helpful, and constructive behaviours that are beneficial for an organisation (IGI, 2022). The concept has been defined as behaviour that involves voluntary and discretionary behaviours that are not required for the successful production and/or delivery of the marketer's goods and services (Xie & Zhang, 2017). These advocates have even been referred to as partial employees (Halbesleben & Buckley, 2004). The broad nature of the definitions implies that customer citizenship comprises a broad array of behaviours on the part of the consumer. According to Groth (2005), three subdimensions comprise the customer citizenship behaviour construct. Specifically, these three subdimensions are the provision of feedback to the firms, the act of helping other customers, and the dissemination of advice to others regarding a marketer's goods and services that are being offered for sale. More recently, Sarioglu (2020) proposed a customer citizenship scale that incorporated 34 items and comprised seven distinct subdimensions. What is apparent from the

literature is that there is no universal agreement as to how many, or even which, subdimensions should be incorporated within a viable scale that measures customer citizenship behaviour.

While individual consumers who engage in customer citizenship behaviours may focus on many criteria (i.e., country-of-origin, consumer safety, and health), perhaps nowhere in marketing is this phenomenon more evident than it is with sustainability. Several studies support the premise that consumers who possess a green mindset not only purchase green products but are also prone to engage in behaviours designed to influence other consumers' decisions to engage in those same behaviours. So, the question of interest is what customer citizenship behaviours a green mindset might induce.

2.1.1. Green Mindset

A green mindset, otherwise characterized as a green *attitude*, has been referred to as a tendency by consumers to refrain from the purchase of products that they deem harmful to the environment. As such, it has been posited that consumers with a stronger green attitude will exhibit a greater tendency to purchase green products that foster sustainability while concurrently avoiding brown products that they deem harmful to the environment (Kautish & Sharma, 2019). Numerous studies support this premise. Among the most recent are Jose, Biju, and Vincent (2022) in their study of the purchase of organic foods; Amoako, Dzogbenuku, and Abubakari, (2020) who explored the purchase of organic foods by university students within the realm of the Theory of Planned Behaviour; and van Tonder, Fullerton, and DeBeer (2020) who examined the interactions among the dimensions of green attitude, green purchase behaviour, and customer citizenship. This last study made the greatest contribution to the current study; the authors created and tested a multi-item scale for determining the green mindset of a consumer. In their study, the scale produced a metric of .902 for a coefficient alpha. Thus, it was shown to be highly reliable. The scale likewise exhibits construct validity. Based on these two considerations, a decision was made to use that scale to measure one's overall green mindset in the current study.

2.1.2. Anti-Consumption Behaviour

Anti-consumption *behaviour* is predicated upon the desire to avoid purchasing a product that the consumer views as an unacceptable alternative for a particular reason. These reasons may include country of origin (refusal to buy Russian products), political considerations (refusal to play golf on a Trump-branded golf course), and perceived bias against the LGBTQ+ community

(refusal to drink Bud Light beer), among many others (McCullough et al., 2022). Environmental sustainability is one of the most prominent in the “many others” category. Recent studies that have documented anti-consumption behaviour because of environmental concerns include efforts by young consumers to help preserve the planet (Ziesemer, Hüttel, & Balderjahn, 2021); a study addressing anti-consumption and consumption decisions within the “organic community” (Saraiva, Fernandes, & von Schwedler, (2020); and one that looked at the decline in per capita meat consumption in Australia (Malek, Umberger, & Goddard, 2019). An additional and somewhat earlier study incorporated a four-item scale designed to measure the anti-consumption construct (Chatzidakis & Lee, 2012); their scale was based on four reasons against purchasing a particular product, and it exhibited a high degree of reliability. It was that scale that was deemed appropriate for the current study.

2.1.3. Consumer Coaching Behaviour

Much of the literature addressing the broader concept of coaching is focused on the firm's employees, either coaching other employees or coaching the firm's customers. While there has been little research on the subject of consumer coaching, it has been noted that consumer coaching *behaviour* occurs when “customers act as productive resources by creating suggestions for service development, purchasing other services, giving suggestions to others, attending brand-centred events, and participating in brand communities” (Johnson & Rapp, 2010:787). Referring to consumer coaching as *personal initiative taking*, Frese et al. (1997) developed a multi-item scale designed to measure the construct. The alpha metric of .88 documented a high level of internal reliability. Furthermore, the authors characterized personal initiative as behaviour that “can be driven by traits such as a self-starting personality, proactivity, and the objective of overcoming difficulties” (*ibid*, p. 139). Despite the dearth of information on the topic, it has emerged as a key proactive behaviour designed to influence consumer purchase decisions, particularly within the realm of customer citizenship. Based on available information, a decision was made to use the scale developed by Frese et al. (1997) in the current study.

2.1.4. Customer Helping Behaviour

At first blush, one might consider customer-helping behaviour to be synonymous with consumer coaching. Yet multiple definitions for customer-helping behaviour serve to differentiate between the two behavioural constructs. For example, it has been inferred that the pertinent actions

associated with helping behaviour involve behavioural patterns such as helping other customers, submitting proposals, and providing feedback; these behaviours are examples of customer-helping behaviour as delineated by van Tonder et al. (2022). Bettencourt (1997), when referring to customers' voluntary behaviour, suggested that such activities comprise three dimensions: participation, loyalty, and cooperation. It was Groth (2005) who, some eight years later, posited that the *act of helping other customers* was one (of three) dimension that fall within the sphere of customer citizenship behaviour. Perhaps the greatest distinction between the two constructs lies in the basic premise that it is always the customer who is providing help to another customer. Yi & Gong (2013, p. 1279) captured this distinction in their definition of customer-helping behaviour when stating that "customer behaviour aimed at assisting other customers in making informed decisions" is a basic characteristic of customer-helping behaviour. From the broadest perspective within the services arena, it has been stated that "helping behaviours represent the act of assisting other customers in using the services properly" by "helping consumers to match needs to attributes" (Groth, 2005, p. 34). So, while there are many definitions of customer-helping behaviour, they can be seen as addressing the concept from a common perspective. Perhaps this common perspective was best articulated by Roy et al. (2020), who stated that this form of customer engagement is best characterized as an aggregation of multiple ways customers, outside of core economic transactions, influence value co-creation and firm performance. This characterisation is also evident in the assertion that helping other customers is an important dimension of customer citizenship behaviour; that input from the customer represents behaviour that is helpful, constructive, and designed to assist other customers in making better green decisions (Gruen, 1995). Furthermore, these behaviours comprise voluntary, positive, constructive and helpful actions toward other customers by sharing information about a service, good, or firm. Therefore, firms and other customers potentially benefit from this voluntary behaviour; from the green firm's standpoint, the helper's contribution is a bump in the marketer's bottom line (Bove, Pervan, Beatty & Shiu, 2009).

Among the scales that included customer helping behaviour as a sub-component of customer citizenship behaviour was that of Yi and Gong (2013); their scale incorporated four sub-dimensions: feedback, advocacy, tolerance, and helping behaviour. Johnson and Rapp (2010) developed and tested a scale that included seven sub-dimensions, each producing an alpha value exceeding .7. Furthermore, each sub-dimension was subjected to construct validity evaluation.

Sarioglu (2020) included 32 items comprising seven sub-scales to model customer citizenship behaviour; one of the seven sub-scales was the act of “helping others” (or HelpOth). Finally, another scale of this ilk was labelled “customers helping customers” (CHC); it produced a measure of the reliability of .97 (Bartikowski & Walsh, 2011). While there were several scales from which to choose, the scale by Yi and Gong (2013) was deemed to be the most appropriate for the current research project.

2.1.5. Green Advocacy

The *Oxford Dictionary* defines advocacy as “publicly speaking on behalf of someone or in support of someone;” thus, it has been characterised as the act “of representing someone’s interests underpinned by the belief in someone or something.” (Wilk et al., 2020. p. 416). From a more narrow B2C perspective, advocacy refers to the act of recommending a business to others, such as friends or family (Groth et al., 2004). Such advocacy could be for a brand (Apple), a country (Japan), or a cause (sustainability). For example, in a study of online financial service companies, it was surmised that a brand testimonial (brand advocacy) that featured visual cues would be a strong inducement for those exposed to the testimonial, especially if initiated by someone within the individual consumer’s sphere of influence such as an affiliative or aspiratory reference group (Harrigan, Roy & Chen, 2021). From a narrower perspective, brand advocacy has been conceptualised as a form of positive word-of-mouth of great value to the targeted brand (Libai et al., 2009). Hence, the reason for extending this line of research into green advocacy comes to the forefront. The earlier discussion of the scale developed by Groth et al. (2004) noted that their scale comprised three distinct dimensions; one of those dimensions is described as “advising the goods or services of the firm to other consumers.” Using this premise as a basis, advocacy in a green marketing context relates to customers saying positive things about the green firm, being willing to recommend the service, and (in particular) encouraging others to use the products (Hwang & Lyu, 2020). Two scales that address or can be adapted to address green advocacy were developed and subjected to empirical scrutiny by Yi and Gong (2013) and van Tonder, et al. (2020). Because of its recent application and a documented high alpha coefficient, the adaptation by van Tonder, et al (2020) was selected for the current study.

2.2. Separating South Korea and South Africa

With the five scales to be used in this study now identified, the next component of the literature review will now focus on the available information that will help to identify the attitudes and behaviours of residents of the two countries.

2.2.1. Global Indices Germane to Sustainability

Since the current study is comparative in design, a sound starting point is an array of indices that facilitate a comparison of the two countries. These indices all assess a country's green mindset and/or green practices.

All 193 countries that are members of the United Nations are rated based on their ability to achieve 17 sustainable development goals (SDGs) that foster environmental protection. The most recent report places South Korea 31st with an index score of 78.06. With a score of 64.00, South Africa falls far behind the South Koreans in 110th place (Sachs et al., 2023). A second metric is an environmental index that ostensibly measures a country's propensity to engage in green behaviours. This Environmental Performance Index (EPI) places South Korea, with an index value of 46.6, in 28th place, while South Africa, with an index value of 43.1, finds itself in the 95th position (Anonymous, 2022a). Both countries have seen an upward trend in their EPI over the past 10 years, but South Africa has made the greatest strides over this time (Anonymous, 2020). This comparison clearly conveys the idea that South Koreans are still viewed as possessing a somewhat greener mindset. Similarly, Solability (2022) provides a third metric, one intended to represent a country's Sustainable Competitiveness Index. Its metrics for 2021 place South Korea 21st with an index value of 39.6, while South Africa's index value of 53.2 places them 131st on the list of 180 countries that were measured (Solability, 2022). A fourth index was reported on a measure of a country's ecological footprint; the measures were 3.16 for South Africa and 0.17 for South Korea (Anonymous, 2022b). These measures imply that South Korea produces **far** less harm to the ecosystem than South Africa. In this regard, South Africa stands at 75th place among the 183 countries measured regarding their ecological footprint whereas South Korea is among the world's best at 4th place; this disparity provides fundamental credence to the belief that South Koreans are far more inclined to engage in environmentally friendly behaviours which may well include actions such as consumer coaching and customer helping. A fifth index is published by *U.S. News & World Report*; it provides an overview of the best countries for green living (a.k.a. most sustainable countries). Of the 87 countries included in the 2023 report,

South Africa was 62nd while South Korea was ranked 14th (Anonymous, 2023). So, this measure indicates that South Korea tends to be far more focused on sustainability. Perhaps even more problematic is the fact that South Africa dropped 16 positions from their place on the 2022 list. These five unbiased measures indicate that consumers in South Korea possess a greener focus than their South African peers. Furthermore, the gap is reported to be increasing at an alarming rate. *Therefore, by virtue of coming out ahead on all five global indices, South Korea resoundingly wins round one.*

2.2.2. Comparison Based on Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions

The next round of the literature review was designed to provide insight into how a country's cultural underpinnings might explain differences in consumer citizenship behaviours from a green perspective. It focuses on the measures that reflect Hofstede's ratings on six key cultural dimensions that are used to characterise numerous populations in countries across the globe. From a broad, cross-cultural perspective, these six measures provide evidence that the two countries vary significantly when compared to each other (Hofstede Insights, 2024). So, the question at this point is simple. How can fundamental differences in cultures characterising the two countries explain potential differences regarding sustainability-related issues?

There was a large difference between the two countries on the individualism scale, with consumers in South Korea exhibiting a much lower score (18 vs 65). The implication is that South Korea falls deep within the realm of collectivism, whereas the score for South African consumers places them squarely on the individualist side of the scale. A basic premise of individualism, as exhibited by consumers in South Africa, is predicated upon independence rather than interdependence. Therefore, individualism is not likely to correspond to a tendency to engage in green behaviour influenced by a desire to benefit the entire population of the consumers' home country. Conversely, by virtue of falling on the collectivist side of the spectrum, South Koreans would be anticipated to engage in green behaviours that protect society at large. Along with being part of a collectivist society, South Koreans scored far lower on the indulgence trait (29 vs 63). Restraint is the antithesis of indulgence. Restraint represents a society, such as South Korea, that suppresses the gratification of personal needs and regulates them using strict social norms. In South Korea, one of these traits is a green mindset as evidenced by their governmental mandate that requires composting of organic waste (Fullerton et

al., 2023). The South Koreans also scored markedly higher on the uncertainty avoidance index (85 vs 49) which would likely coincide with a greener mindset because of the uncertainty as to how brown behaviours might negatively impact society in the present, but particularly in the future. South Korea scored much higher (75 vs 34) on the long-term orientation construct while concurrently exhibiting less masculine mindset (39 vs 62). An overview of these index values is provided in Table 1, and a brief synopsis of the implications of the Hofstede indices follows.

Table 1: Index Values for the 6 Cultural Dimensions Delineated by Minkov and Hofstede

Country	PDI	IDV	MAS	UAI	LTO	IVR
South Africa	49	65	63	49	34	63
South Korea	60	18	39	85	75	29

PDI=Power Distance; IDV=Individualism; MAS=Masculinity; UAI=Uncertainty Avoidance; LTO=Long-Term Orientation; IVR=Indulgence

These values indicate that the cultures of the two countries vary in a meaningful manner; perhaps these cultural characteristics lead to the propensity for the South Koreans to possess greener attitudes while concurrently engaging in greener actions than do the South Africans – as documented by the global indices that were the focus of the previous section of the literature review. This relationship was documented in a study that stated that “*cultural values influence sustainable consumption*, and then sustainable consumption positively influences consumer well-being” (Minton *et al.*, 2022, p. 167). Why might this be so? By having a (slightly) more collectivist mindset along with a greater propensity to emphasise long-term solutions, it is logical to presume that they are more likely – as a society – to embrace green solutions. Furthermore, given that previous research has documented that women tend to be more strongly oriented towards sustainability, South Korea’s lean towards the feminine side of Hofstede’s masculinity scale would also support the premise that South Koreans possess a greener mindset as measured by the five constructs under scrutiny in the current study. Therefore, it is logical to surmise that South Koreans are more open to green solutions. *So, round two unequivocally goes to the South Koreans.*

2.2.3. Studies and Reports Regarding Each Country

A search of the literature (using ProQuest) that focused on customer citizenship behaviour, green advocacy, customer-helping, and consumer coaching yielded little substance research. There is, however, significant research on consumers’ green mindsets, anti-consumption behaviour, and sustainability-related behaviour. But, when further narrowing the focus to South Africa and South Korea, research of this ilk was virtually non-existent. Most of the recent research with an eye on South Korea and South Africa has not been comparative in nature; furthermore, few studies include both countries. Still, looking at the green initiatives undertaken in South Africa and South Korea provides a cursory overview of the two countries under scrutiny in the current study. Research that focused on the role that *mindful consumption*, *caring for nature*, and *caring*

for the community play in an effort to encourage sustainability has been documented (Sheth, Sethia & Srinivas, 2011). The literature also identifies proactive green initiatives undertaken in the two countries under scrutiny in the current study. The following paragraphs provide an overview of these initiatives – beginning with South Korea.

For instance, in South Korea, there is a proposal for a multifaceted education and training program to be implemented in a concerted effort to introduce more sustainability-based initiatives in commerce and to establish a knowledge-based institution to promote sustainability within the South Korean community (Farhart, 2021). In this regard, it has been reported that “South Korea has become a leader in the development of the latest technologies, microelectronics, biotechnology and optics, aimed at protecting the environment” (Sutbayeva, *et al.*, 2021, p. 691). At the same time, South Korea is shifting away from fossil fuels and nuclear power and rapidly moving towards renewable energy resources. The country is also witnessing a transformation in its industries with a transition towards decarbonisation, digitization, and automation. Furthermore, South Korea is moving towards a sustainable circular economy and achieving greater resource security (Lee & Cha, 2020). The country’s push towards sustainability has also seen an uptick in urban farming, with residents signing up for farming lessons as well as RFID³-equipped garbage cans that measure waste and then bill residents accordingly (Cho, 2019). While some countries have taken steps to encourage recycling and composting, South Korea is at the forefront by requiring its citizens to compost organic waste to enhance sustainability. It is estimated that when the law took effect in 2006, South Korea composted about 2% of its food waste; now that number is nearly 100%. Furthermore, the waste designated for composting is discarded in mandated biodegradable bags. (Kim, 2022; Ho, 2021; Broom, 2019). So, regarding the composting of organic waste, South Koreans are at the forefront of the countries that have enacted laws mandating that consumers engage in this form of green behaviour. Also of note, is the South Korean Green New Deal. This far-reaching initiative focuses on renewable energy, a green infrastructure, and a greener industrial sector. Its green car subsidy programme offers up to US \$17 million in subsidies to people who buy an electric vehicle (EV). It also includes up to USD \$33.5 million devoted to purchasing hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles. South Korea has submitted its Nationally Defined Contribution (NDC) and 2050 Carbon Neutral Strategy to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

³ RFID = Radio-Frequency Identification. Small electronic tags that can be attached to trash bins.

The latter includes South Korea's long-term plan for achieving carbon neutrality by 2050 (Chowdary, 2021).

The focus of the literature review has now shifted to South Africa; it will commence with an overview of several studies that examined sustainability issues in the country. For starters, South Africans continue to emit excessive amounts of greenhouse pollution; however, young South Africans between the ages of 15 and 24 have become more vocal in their efforts to change their compatriots' minds about protecting the environment (Bright, 2021). The South African Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment have issued their own National Framework for Sustainable Development. It states that "South Africa aspires to be a sustainable, economically prosperous and self-reliant nation-state that safeguards its democracy by meeting the fundamental human needs of its people, by managing its limited ecological resources responsibly for current and future generations, and by advancing efficient and effective integrated planning and governance through national, regional and global collaboration" (Anonymous, 2008). A more recent survey of South African consumers concluded that "South African consumers have expressed a growing willingness to pay a premium for ... sustainability over the last two years" while noting that "sustainable packaging is already relatively well-established in South Africa, for example, with returnable glass bottles being more common than in many other countries." (Hattingh and Ramiakan, 2022:1). Perhaps it is this emphasis which led to a recent study of the retail sector of South Africa, which concluded that a retailer's green image, along with its environmental performance, helps in its quest to attain a competitive advantage (Chinomona & Bikissa-Macongue, 2021). We have recently seen a "waste-to-soil" composting initiative in South Africa (Averda, 2021). But, despite such available opportunities for South Africans, it has been reported that the country's climate plan completely ignores waste reduction (Bega, 2021). Conversely, in South Africa's favour is a report by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) which states that "meeting South Africa's ambitious climate objectives will require a comprehensive strategy that includes a more effective use of carbon pricing policy, reducing inefficient government subsidies that have delayed the green transition, well-targeted support to affected industries and households, and other green financial and sectoral measures" (Qo et al., 2023). While the results of this literature review component indicate that both countries are enacting laws that mandate green behaviour, and both countries aspire to move in a greener direction, the issue of carbon emissions still stands out. It essentially supports the earlier

reported index on carbon footprint that placed South Africa 75th on the list of 183 countries subjected to scrutiny. Based solely on this South African shortcoming, *round three goes to South Korea by a narrow margin.*

2.2.4. Empirical Cross-Cultural Studies and Reports

The focus now shifts to two forms of comparison: empirical comparative studies that include both countries and official reports (other than the earlier examined indices) that provide evidence of the direction in which the two countries are headed. One recent study that included both countries was on the anti-consumption dimension, where it was reported that South Koreans were more inclined to engage in anti-consumption behaviour than were South Africans; however, that study on anti-consumption decisions focused solely on country-of-origin (CoI) considerations (Fullerton et al., 2022). A comparative study that included South Africa and South Korea incorporated *Hofstede's cultural dimensions*. One conclusion was that these dimensions could play a role in addressing issues and concerns germane to many issues – including anti-consumption attitudes, anti-consumption behaviour, and sustainability (Fullerton et al., 2023). A third study likewise invoked Hofstede's cultural characteristics when stating that “South Korea, a *collectivist* country, exhibited motivation patterns for sustainable behaviours that differed from those in the United States and Germany, which are *individualistic* cultures” (Minton et al., 2012, p. 80). Given South Africa's position on Hofstede's individualism scale, that same assertion can be presumed to be relevant when comparing South Korean consumers to their peers in South Africa. One study that measured the *ecological* footprint and projected the increase by 2050 for the G20 nations projected that South Korea's performance will be slightly better than South Africa's regarding emissions (Espinosa & Koh, 2024). Another report that included multiple countries focused on trade and its impact on climate change, thus sustainability. The report stated that South Africa's largest exports are at risk as the country's mining exports are relatively carbon intensive whereas South Korea's low-carbon energy transition is designed to dramatically reduce coal-powered energy generation and coal imports (TIPS, 2024). Therefore, the modest amount of comparative literature suggests that *round four goes to the South Koreans.*

2.3. Overview of the Literature

Research of this ilk provides both a practical and a theoretical perspective by leading one to believe that a country with a greener mindset is more likely to engage in green behaviour such as

green advocacy and consumer coaching. The literature leads one to believe that South Korea is a greener country. So, does one country have a greener cultural mindset; if so, to what extent does this green attitude lead to green behaviour? Since South Korea won the literature review – four rounds to zero – it seems logical to believe that they will come out ahead in the current empirical study. So, as this project moves forward, the question at hand is a simple one. *Which country will win rounds five and six when the focus shifts to attitudes and behaviours as delineated via the analyses of the primary data?*

3. Research Methodology

The project began with a review of the phenomenon of customer citizenship. The literature review identified five constructs plausibly associated with attitudes or behaviours specific to customer citizenship from a green perspective. The review was expanded to find a multi-item scale empirically tested for reliability and validity in previous research projects for each of the five identified constructs. Based on this insight, a questionnaire was developed. The final five scales that were selected for this survey and their original source were as follows:

- Green Mindset (van Tonder, et al., 2020),
- Anti-Consumption (Chatzidakis & Lee, 2012),
- Consumer Coaching Behaviour (Frese, et al., 1997),
- Customer Helping Behaviour (Yi & Gong, 2013), and
- Green Advocacy (van Tonder, et al., 2020).

The instrument was pretested using a sample of 175 university students. The reliability of the scales was confirmed; however, a small number of modifications in wording were incorporated. The questionnaire was done in English rather than Afrikaans in South Africa and in Korean in South Korea. Multiple quality control checks were used in the data collection process in an effort to ensure that the final dataset comprised a sample of attentive respondents. With these tasks completed, the questionnaire was deemed to be ready for distribution to select members of the two target populations.

The *South Korean* data were collected using an Internet-based approach. The questionnaire was loaded onto South Korea's most popular social network service, KakaoTalk. A team of five researchers was employed to recruit respondents using a judgment sample to assure that each

prospective respondent met established demographic requirements. The initial wave of respondents was determined to be too heavily composed of younger respondents. To address this deficiency, the second wave of data collection focused on an effort to recruit older respondents to complete the questionnaire to create the desired demographic balance in the final dataset. This step used one researcher to solicit feedback from older respondents; again, the researcher's judgement was used in the selection process. Both waves of the South Korean data collection process were completed entirely online but with an administrator present. An English version originally developed for the United States was used in South Africa; however, several changes to the demographic questions' spelling, wording, and categorical responses were required for the South African version of the questionnaire. Data were collected in *South Africa* using a web-based protocol maintained by the Consulta Research Agency. Invitations were sent to select members of their consumer panel, which the agency refers to as ConsultaPanel. Upon clicking the link for the survey, two questions were used to screen the prospects to ensure that they were members of the target population. Those who passed the screening process were then directed to the online questionnaire. Their responses were directly entered into the South African database. The databases from the two countries were combined upon completing the data collection process. As noted earlier, the questionnaires contained multiple quality control checks to identify inattentive respondents and drop them from the analytical procedures. This data cleansing process resulted in numerous respondents being dropped, which was seen as improving the integrity of the final data set.

The reliability of the five scales was evaluated using Cronbach's coefficient alpha. Each scale was subjected to three measurements: one for the aggregate sample, one for the South Korean sample, and one for the South African sample. The mean score for each country's five scales was then calculated. Next, standard t-tests were used to determine whether the responses from the two countries were significantly different. A probability of .05 served as the benchmark for confirming that the difference between the two countries was statistically significant, thereby rejecting the commonly presumed null hypothesis of equal group means.

4. Results and Findings

With the data in hand, a cleansing process was undertaken. The cleansing of the data using multiple quality control checks resulted in eliminating inattentive respondents thereby

undoubtedly improving the integrity of the data (Fullerton & McCullough, 2023). With the data cleansing process completed, the dataset analyses could then proceed. This procedure was accomplished by virtue of the use of multiple quality control checks embedded within the survey. The data cleansing process resulted in a net usable sample of 513 respondents who reside in South Africa and 292 respondents in South Korea. The Internet protocol also meant that each respondent answered every question; therefore, there was zero item nonresponse.

The initial analytical procedure assessed the reliability of each of the five scales under scrutiny. This procedure involved three separate evaluations of the reliability of all five scales as determined by the Cronbach's coefficient alpha calculation. Specifically, coefficient alpha was calculated for the aggregate sample of 805 respondents from the two countries: 292 respondents from South Korea and 513 from South Africa. When looking at the aggregate sample, the metric for the reliability of the five scales ranged from an acceptable low of .732 to a robust high of .937. Looking solely at the South Korean sample, the coefficient alpha for the five scales ranged from a disappointing .563 for anti-consumption to a high of .939. The South African sample produced similar results, with coefficient alpha ranging from a low of .664 to a high of .932. For each of the three groups of consumers (Aggregate, South Korean, and South African), the highest measure of reliability was for the customer helping scale (.937, .939 and .932 respectively). The lowest values for coefficient alpha were all associated with the anti-consumption scale (.732, .563 and .664, respectively). In total, 13 of the 15 metrics exceeded the .7 value deemed appropriate for this type of assessment (Nunnally, 1978). Five of the 15 measures exceeded .900. For four of the five scales, the South Korean sample produced a higher measure for reliability; four of the five exceeded the .7 guideline for the continuation of the planned analytical procedures. Even though the internal consistency of the anti-consumption scale for both samples was suspect, given the acceptable level of reliability for the aggregate sample, a decision was made to include anti-consumption in the analyses; however, caution should be exercised when attempting to measure anti-consumption in future research endeavours. The decision to retain it was based upon conclusions by other researchers who have indicated that a level of reliability of .6 is acceptable for the type of analysis being undertaken in the current study (Wim, et al., 2008) and that the alpha measure for the aggregate sample exceeded the .7 threshold. Completing the reliability assessment leads to the next step of the analytical process. Table 2 provides an overview of the 15 reliability analyses.

Table 2. Results of Reliability Testing for the Five Scales Under Scrutiny

<u>Scale</u>	<u>Coefficient alpha</u>		
	<u>Aggregate</u>	<u>SK</u>	<u>SA</u>
Green Mindset	.820	.760	.759
Anti-Consumption	.732	.563	.664
Green Advocacy	.864	.931	.803
Customer Helping	.937	.939	.932
Consumer Coaching	.899	.911	.888

The next step (round 5) was to compare the results from the two countries by calculating the mean for each of the five scales. The scales were additive in nature, with each scale comprising either three or four items. In each case, a higher value is associated with stronger support for the behaviour or attitude under scrutiny. This analysis begins with examining the green mindset as reflected by the mean scores of the two independent samples. This scale incorporated three items, so the range of potential means (using a balanced, forced, six-point itemised rating scale) was between 3.0 and 18.0. The mean score for the South Korean sample was moderately high, 14.72, while the mean for the South African sample was far more robust at 16.16. So, the initial conclusion is that both countries can be characterised as possessing a moderately strong green mindset. A t-test was then used to determine whether or not the difference between the two countries was statistically significant. With a t-value of -8.079 and 803 degrees of freedom, the calculated probability associated with the difference was $<.001$. Therefore, the difference in the mean scores for the two countries has been determined to be statistically significant. Therefore, it can be concluded that South Africa possesses a greener mindset – or *attitude* than do the South Koreans. *So, South Africa breaks into the scoresheet by winning round five.*

For round six, the focus now shifts to overt *behaviour*. In this regard, the initial assessment is of the anti-consumption behaviour scale. The anti-consumption scale comprised four items, thus the range of potential means was 4.0 to 24.0. The actual means were 13.73 for the South Korean sample and 18.25 for the South African sample. The massive negative difference between the two means resulted in the conclusion that residents of South Africa were the greener of the two populations as they were far more likely to engage in anti-consumption behaviour based on issues germane to sustainability. The comparison produced a t-value of -19.146 with 803 degrees of freedom; that difference is significant at a level of $<.001$. Given these metrics, it can be stated

that consumers in South Africa are considerably more prone to overtly engage in green-based anti-consumption behaviour than are residents of South Korea.

Green advocacy is the second behavioural construct under scrutiny. This three-item scale exhibited the weakest support. The mean for South Korea was just above the scale's 10.5 midpoint at 11.16 while the mean for South Africa was a more robust 13.24. The difference of -2.08 resulted in a t-score of -9.047; this difference is also statistically significant at the level of <.001. Therefore, it can be concluded that South Africans are more likely to engage in green advocacy behaviour even though consumers in neither country could be characterised as actively participating within this realm of customer citizenship.

Attention is now shifted to the third behavioural construct, that of customer-helping behaviour. The mean score for the South Korean sample for this four-item scale was 15.64. By comparison, the mean score for the South African sample was 17.73. Thus, the observed difference was a sizable -2.09. This difference resulted in a t-score of -6.686 which is significant at the level of <.001. These results indicate that consumers in South Africa are far more likely than consumers in South Korea to engage in customer-helping behaviour.

The final scale under scrutiny is consumer coaching, or what Frese et al., (1997) referred to as personal initiative taking. The four-item scale also exhibited means whereby the observed difference between the two countries was statistically significant at a level of <.001. The South Korean sample produced a lower mean score than the previous four comparisons. The South Korean mean was 14.91 whereas the South African mean was 17.11. This difference of -2.20 between the two samples produced a t-score of -6.992. So, once again, South Africans are more engaged in consumer coaching behaviour. The results of the five t-tests are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of t-tests for Differences Between the Means on the Five Scales

<u>Scale</u>	<u>SK</u>	<u>SA</u>	<u>dif.</u>	<u>t-value</u>	<u>sig.*</u>
Green Mindset (3)	14.72	16.16	-1.44	- 8.079	<.001
Anti-Consumption (4)	13.73	18.25	-4.52	-19.146	<.001
Green Advocacy (3)	11.16	13.24	-2.08	-9.047	<.001
Customer Helping (4)	15.64	17.73	-2.09	-6.686	<.001
<u>Consumer Coaching (4)</u>	<u>14.91</u>	<u>17.11</u>	<u>-2.20</u>	<u>-6.942</u>	<u><.001</u>

* Significance based on 803 degrees of freedom for each calculation; (*) Number within parentheses represents the number of items comprising each scale

As seen in Table 3, not only did the South African sample produce a higher mean score on the single attitudinal scale, but it also resulted in a significantly higher mean for all four behavioural constructs comprising customer citizenship from a green perspective. Based on these outcomes, it can be stated that the interpretation of these results indicates that South African consumers possess a greener attitude (mindset), and – perhaps more importantly – they are more prone to engage in green behaviour than consumers in South Korea. Based on the results associated with the four behavioural constructs, *the South Africans overwhelmingly won the sixth round.*

5. Managerial Implications

Given the differences in attitudes and behaviours documented in the comparison of South Korea and South Africa, it is apparent that marketers cannot treat the global market as a homogeneous entity. The results emanating from this study underscore the essential need for sustainability initiatives and resource management practices across different countries and regions be customized to address better the challenges posed by varying economic conditions and incongruent ecological capacities. Standardised marketing strategies are inferior to those customised for each market. This reality further documents the need for researchers – academicians and practitioners alike – to continue engaging in cross-national research designed to identify differences and suggest how a marketer can best address those differences. A marketer might be headed for an embarrassing and expensive demise without such insight. With that insight, the marketer might instead create a sustainable competitive advantage that will help it prosper while extending its own life cycle.

Younger consumers are known to embrace green procedures more emphatically, including customer citizenship behaviours. And they are more vocal in their support. Governments would be wise to reach out to this younger group of citizens to enlist their support. Furthermore, marketers should recognize Gen Z and Generation Alpha as two unique market segments that they can target with green products and promotions. Emphasis should be directed towards Generation Alpha, those consumers born after 2009.

It has been shown that women tend to be more open to green behaviour than are men. Perhaps this logic can be extended to those countries that score low on Maslow's masculinity scale. These countries include Scandinavian countries, Spain, and France. Governments might induce green behaviour, and marketers might capitalise on green products and promotional initiatives.

So, it goes beyond focusing on a specific demographic – in this case women – and focuses on a country's cultural underpinnings.

Marketers must be careful not to *greenwash*. Pretending to be green when you are not will result in pushback and criticism, hindering the marketer's ability to succeed in the marketplace, especially with greener consumers. Customer criticism can be louder than customer advocacy. And its impact can be substantial. For example, Ryanair dealt with consumer resentment and government intervention when it engaged in greenwashing by claiming to be Europe's "lowest emissions airline" (Akepa, 2021).

Regarding green advocacy, the results show that both countries scored low on the scale, albeit on the positive side of the scale. While the results for South Africa (13.24) were moderate (based on the scale's midpoint of 10.5), the mean for South Korea (11.16) placed them in a neutral position. So, one area that apparently needs to be addressed by green advocates in both countries is the need to get consumers more involved, more proactive, and more vocal in their support of green initiatives.

5.1. Discussion

The cleansing of the dataset resulted in the decision to drop a meaningful percentage of the respondents from the data analysis process. The respondents who were dropped failed one or more of the quality control checks in the data collection process. The inattentiveness of these dropped respondents was deemed detrimental to the data's integrity. Thus, their responses were not incorporated within the final dataset. This cleansing process is strongly recommended for future research efforts that involve survey research, particularly Internet-based surveys where the respondents are compensated for each completed questionnaire.

When engaging in research that is based upon the evaluation of constructs by calculating a single metric from a multi-item additive scale, it is imperative that each scale be internally consistent. In essence, the researcher needs to ensure that each item in the scale is measuring the same phenomenon. This study measured reliability for each scale using Cronbach's alpha. Coefficient alpha was calculated three times for each of the five scales by assessing the aggregate sample and each country individually. Of the 15 metrics, 13 exceeded the .7 reliability standard established by Nunnally (1978), which remains the common benchmark in today's research efforts. High reliability helps assure meaningful measurements when using a multi-item scale to

measure phenomena such as an individual's green mindset. The two measures that did not satisfy this standard were substantially lower than .7, but one approached and one exceeded the .6 guideline as delineated by Wim et al. (2008). Furthermore, the anti-consumption scale produced the lowest alpha score for all three iterations. So, while the scale used to measure anti-consumption behaviour is marginally adequate, it is suggested that an alternative scale be identified or developed for future research of this ilk, especially in cross-cultural research. The other four scales used in this study (green mindset, green advocacy, customer helping, and consumer coaching) all withstood empirical scrutiny and are deemed appropriate for future research endeavours that generally feature customer citizenship.

The primary focus of this project was to identify differences between South Korean residents and residents of South Africa when comparing attitudes and behaviours germane to green customer citizenship. The observed differences between the five pairs of means were all statistically significant at a level of less than .001. This outcome indicates that the differences are not due to random error but are true differences between the two population parameters. All five of the assessments of the pertinent pairs of group means produced a negative t-score. All five negative outcomes indicate that the South African respondents had a stronger green inclination on all five constructs under scrutiny. From an attitudinal perspective, they exhibited a considerably stronger green mindset. From a behavioural perspective, they had higher scores regarding participation in green advocacy behaviour, engaging in behaviour intended to help other customers make green decisions, coaching individual consumers so that they might learn the underlying logic for engaging in green behaviour, and the likelihood of engaging in overt, planned anti-consumption behaviour that is based upon a perceived breach of ethical standards by the targeted marketer/organization regarding conduct deemed to be detrimental to the environment. So, while South Korea came out ahead in the assessment of the secondary data, South Africa was the clear winner when the focus shifted to the primary data. Given these outcomes, it can be stated that the results are somewhat paradoxical. Still, the results are meaningful to governmental agencies and marketers alike.

There is substantial evidence that a stronger green mindset does indeed contribute to greener actions on the part of the consumer. Based on the results from the current study, South African residents more openly embrace and engage in the three behaviours related to customer citizenship behaviour: green advocacy, consumer coaching behaviour, and customer helping

behaviour. While the statistical significance of the observed differences indicates that South Africa scored significantly higher on all five scales, it is worth noting that differences of the magnitude observed in the current study are managerially significant based on the corresponding measures of effect. So, South African consumers are more prone to engage in formal and informal communications designed to influence their peers on the perceived wisdom of engaging in green behaviour. This behaviour includes purchasing, consuming, and disposing products in ways that do not adversely impact the ecosystem. Perhaps the South Africans view their country as more pristine today, and their behaviours aim to maintain it. Or perhaps they have paid more attention to the warnings articulated by those who openly elucidate their concerns. Despite this awareness, they are not firmly embracing green advocacy, even though they are typified as highly likely to engage in sustainability-based anti-consumption behaviour. Still, while they are concerned about their green future, from a collective perspective, they are not what has been referred to as Green Warriors (Fullerton et al., 2021). But while not being strong green advocates, they are far more inclined to engage in customer helping and consumer coaching behaviours. Perhaps South Africans view their peers as needing information and assistance in decision-making. Somewhat surprisingly, South Korean consumers are less likely to embrace anti-consumption behaviour based on green concerns actively. Perhaps future research could gain an understanding of why that is the case.

While numerous studies and reports have examined both South Korea and South Africa from a sustainability perspective, few have examined the two countries simultaneously. Furthermore, there is a dearth of information about the two countries and their participation in customer citizenship behaviours. Given the recent emergence of customer citizenship as an important focus by academicians and practitioners alike, it is hoped that the current project will be the impetus for others to continue down the path towards more active investigations of this phenomenon in countries across the globe.

The authors wish to make readers aware of a few caveats for this study. The most disconcerting are potential questions regarding the representativeness of the two samples. While efforts were made to control demographics, were the samples microcosms of the entire populations of the two countries, or were there issues that hindered the achievement of that goal? Was there selection bias, particularly in South Korea where there was some initial face-to-face interaction between the researcher and the respondent even though the survey was completed by the respondent on a

solitary basis? The primary concern in this regard is age, even though the second wave of data collection attempted to ameliorate this deficiency. In South Africa, a web-based survey may reach a desirable segment of the population, but it would be deficient if the goal was to draw a representative sample of the entire population. Furthermore, having it available only in English may have had two negative results: no input from non-English-speaking residents and animosity among South Africans who thought that it would have been more appropriate to distribute the survey in Afrikaans or perhaps provide alternatives for the multitude of languages spoken by different segments of South Africa.

6. Conclusions, Limitations and Future Research

This project focused on five key outcomes. First, the literature review identified five scales used in previous research on customer citizenship that are commonly used within the realm of sustainability-oriented initiatives. Second, the literature was used to determine which country possessed a greener mindset and engaged in green activities pursuant to green customer citizenship. Third was the task of empirically verifying the reliability of each of the five identified scales. Fourth was the need to produce a snapshot of consumers' current perceptions and behaviours regarding customer citizenship within a green context in the two countries under scrutiny. Finally, the results provided empirical evidence of the differences between consumers in South Korea and South Africa on each of the five identified constructs.

Before data analyses were conducted, the two countries' databases were cleansed. This process allowed for eliminating *systematic noise* resulting from inattentive respondents such as speeders, straightliners, and satisficers. Based on the sheer magnitude of the number of respondents who were dropped from the database and thus not included in the subsequent analyses, this cleansing process was instrumental in the task of acquiring quality data. Thus, quality control checks such as instructional manipulation checks are strongly encouraged for all forms of survey research, especially when data collection is via an Internet platform.

Empirical scrutiny documented significant differences between South Korea and South Africa. In all five of these five assessments, the mean for the scale under examination for the South African sample was higher than the corresponding mean for the South Korean sample. These results indicate that consumers in South Africa tend to possess a stronger green mindset (attitude) while concurrently being more likely to engage in all four of the green customer citizenship behaviours

under scrutiny: green advocacy, consumer coaching behaviour (personal initiative taking), customer helping behaviour (consumers helping consumers), and anti-consumption behaviour. Scored like a six-round boxing match, South Korea came out ahead in the first four rounds of the evaluation process based on the literature review. Conversely, South Africa scored higher in both rounds of empirical scrutiny (attitude and behaviour). Though the score is 4-2 in favour of South Korea, no winner can be declared. Prize fights last 12 rounds, not six, so this one is far from over. Based on the primary data from this study, South Africa appears to have gained momentum. Irrespective of who ultimately wins this battle, both countries appear to be moving in the right direction. So perhaps rather than viewing it as a battle, it would be better characterised as simultaneous efforts to achieve a common goal. It is only hoped that these efforts are recognized, embraced, and enacted across the globe. If so, then all 195 countries across Mother Earth will be winners.

References

- Akepa (2021). Greenwashing: 14 recent examples. Retrieved from <https://thesustainableagency.com/blog/greenwashing-examples/>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Amoako, D., Dzogbenuku, R. & Abubakari, A. (2020). Do green knowledge and attitude influence the youth's green purchasing? Theory of planned behavior. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 69(8), 1609-1626.
- Anonymous (2023). Best countries for green living. Retrieved from <https://usnews.com/news/best-countries/most-sustainable-countries>. [Accessed 1 May 2024]
- Anonymous (2022a). 2022 EPI Results. Retrieved from www.epi.yale.edu/epi-results/2022/component/epi. [Accessed 28 April 2024]
- Anonymous (2022b). Ecological footprint by country 2022. Retrieved from <https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/ecological-footprint-by-country>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Anonymous (2008). National framework for sustainable development. Retrieved from <https://www.dffe.gov.za/documents/strategicdocuments/nfsd>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Averda (2021). Growthpoint launches waste-to-soil compost initiative. Retrieved from <https://www.averda.com/rsa/news/growthpoint-launches-compost-initiative>.

- Bartikowski, B. & Walsh, G. (2011). Investigating mediators between corporate reputation and customer citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(1), 39-44.
- Bega, S. (2021, Nov. 4). South Africa and other countries ignore waste reduction in climate plan. *Mail & Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://mg.co.za/environment/2021-11-04-south-africa-and-other-countries-ignore-waste-reduction-in-climate-plan/>. [Accessed 28 April 2024]
- Bettencourt, L. (1997). Customer voluntary performance: Customers as partners in service delivery. *Journal of Retailing*, 73, 383–406.
- Bove, L., Pervan, S., Beatty, S. & Shiu, E. (2009). Service worker's role in encouraging customer organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(7), 698-705.
- Bright, N. (2021). Courting emissions: Climate adjudication and South Africa's youth. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 11(1), 1-12, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00320-6>. [Accessed 28 April 2024]
- Broom, D. (2019, Apr. 12). South Korea once recycled 2% of its food waste. Now it recycles 95%. *World Economic Forum*. Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/04/south-korea-recycling-food-waste/>. [Accessed 1 May 2024]
- Cavazos-Arroyo, J. & Sánchez-Lezama, A. P. (2022). Explaining green consumption: A cross-cultural study on young adult consumers through a multi-group comparison. *Estudios Gerenciales*, 38(162), 69-81. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.18046/j.estger.2022.162.4701>. [Accessed 28 April 2024]
- Chatzidakis, A. & Lee, M. (2012). Anti-Consumption as the study of reasons against. *Journal of Macromarketing*, 33(3), 190-203.
- Cho, J. (2019, Apr. 20). South Korea's food waste reduction plans feature urban farming and modern garbage bins. Retrieved from <https://abcnews.go.com/International/south-koreas-food-waste-reduction-plans-feature-urban/story?id=62480905>. [Accessed 1 May 2024]
- Chowdhury, (2021). Korea's Green New Deal in a year of transition. UNDP. Retrieved from <https://www.undp.org/blog/south-koreas-green-new-deal-year-transition>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Espinosa, R. & Koh, L. (2024). Forecasting the ecological footprint of G20 countries in the next 30 years. *Scientific Reports (Nature Publisher Group)*, 14(1), 8298. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-57994-z>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]

- Farhat, N. S. (2021). The impact of Sustainability on the fashion industry. *Journal of Business Strategies*, 15(1), 45-58.
- Frese, M., Fay, D., Hilburger, T., Leng, K. & Tag, A. (1997). The concept of personal initiative: Operationalization, reliability and validity in two German samples. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 70(2), 139-161.
- Fullerton, S. & McCullough, T. (2023). Using quality control checks to overcome the pitfalls of collecting primary data via online platforms. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-023-00249-z>.
- Fullerton, S., van Tonder, E. & Lee, J. (2023). Differences in consumers' green consumption mindsets and behavior across South Africa, South Korea and the United States based upon measures of Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory," *Proceedings of the Association of Marketing Theory and Practice* conference, https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amp-ceedings_2023/40/. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Fullerton, S., Lee, J. & van Tonder, E. (2022). Attitudes about and the propensity to engage in anti-consumption behavior based upon country-of-origin among consumers in South Africa, South Korea, and the United States. *Proceedings of the Society for Marketing Advances* conference, 53-61.
- Fullerton, S., McCullough, T. & van Tonder, E. (2021). Factors impacting one's self-classification into one of the five categories of a typology delineating green (and not so green) consumers, *Proceedings of the Association of Marketing Theory and Practices*. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amp-ceedings_2021/9. [Accesses 3 May 2024]
- Geetha, R. & Laxman, G. (2017). Green consumption behavior: A precursor to green production and green marketing? Paper presented at the 5th International Conference on Contemporary Issues in Management at ISME, *Bangalore Compendium of Papers*. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2915801 [Accessed 28 April 2024]
- Groth, M. (2005). Customers as good soldiers: Examining customer citizenship behaviors in Internet service deliveries. *Journal of Management*, 31, 7-27.
- Groth, M., Mertens, D. & Murphy, R. (2004). Customers as good soldiers: Extending organizational citizenship behavior research to the customer domain. In D.L.
- Turnipseed, K. ed. *Handbook of Organizational Citizenship Behavior*, Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers, 411-430.

- Gruen, T. (1995). The outcome set of relationship marketing in consumer markets. *International Business Review*, 4(4), 447-469.
- Halbesleben, J. & Buckley, M. (2004). Managing customers as employees of the firm: New challenges for human resources management. *Personnel Review*, 33(3), 351-372.
[https://DOI:10.1108/00483480410528878](https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480410528878).
- Harrigan, P., Roy, S. & Chen, T. (2021). Do value cocreation and engagement drive brand evangelism? *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 39(3), 345-360. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-10-2019-0492>
- Hofstede Insights (2024). Country Comparison. Retrieved from <https://www.hofstede-insights.com/country-comparison/>. [Accessed 1 May 2024]
- Ho, S. (2021, Feb. 18). Asian example: How South Korea is recycling 95% of its food waste. Retrieved from <https://www.greenqueen.com.hk/asian-example-heres-how-south-korea-is-recycling-95-of-its-food-waste/>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Huang, Y., Wei, S. & Ang, T. (2022). The role of customer perceived ethicality in explaining the impact of incivility among employees on customer unethical behavior and customer citizenship behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 178(2), 519-535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04698-9>
- Hwang, J. & Lyu, S. (2020). Relationships among green image, consumer attitudes, desire, and customer citizenship behavior in the airline industry. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 14(6), 437-447, DOI: [10.1080/15568318.2019.1573280](https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2019.1573280)
- IGI Global (2022). *Handbook of Research on Strategic Alliances and Value Co-Creation in the Service Industry*. Retrieved from <https://www.igi-global.com/dictionary/customer-citizenship-behavior/58469>. [Accessed 26 April 2024]
- Johnson, J. & Rapp, A. (2010). A more comprehensive understanding and measure of customer helping behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(8), 787-792.
- Jose, J., Biju, M. & Vincent, B. (2022). Does consumer attitude influence sustainable buying behavior of branded organic food consumers? The mediating role of green consumption value in predicting the relationship. *IUP Journal of Marketing Management*, 21(1), 70-86.
- Kautish, P. & Sharma, R. (2019). Value orientation, green attitude, and green behavioral intentions: An empirical investigation among young consumers. *Young Consumers: Insight and Ideas for Responsible Marketers*, 20(4), 338-358.

- Kim, M. (2022). South Korea has almost zero food waste. Here's what the US can learn. *The Guardian*, Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/nov/20/south-korea-zero-food-waste-composting-system>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Libai, B., Muller, E. & Peres, R. (2009). The role of within-brand and cross-brand communications in competitive growth. *Journal of Marketing*, 73, 19-34. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.73.3.19>
- Liu, H., Yang, J. & Chen, X. (2020). Making the customer-brand relationship sustainable: The different effects of psychological contract breach types on customer citizenship behaviors. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 630.
- Malek, L., Umberger, W. & Goddard, E. (2019). Is anti-consumption driving meat consumption changes in Australia? *British Food Journal*, 121(1), 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-03-2018-0183>
- McCullough, T., Rynarzewska, A. & Fullerton, S. (2022). Anti-consumption: A preliminary examination of a set of social considerations that impact a consumer's decision to 'punish' marketers deemed to be engaging in irresponsible behavior. *Proceedings of the Association of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amp-proceedings_2022/66/. [Accessed 6 November 2023]
- Minton, E., Tan, S., Tambyah, S. & Liu, R. (2022). Drivers of sustainability and consumer well-being: An ethically-based examination of religious and cultural values. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 175(1), 167-190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04674-3>
- Minton, E., Lee, C., Orth, U., Kim, C. & Kahle, L. (2012). Sustainable marketing and social media: A cross-country analysis of motives for sustainable behaviors. *Journal of Advertising*, 41(4), 69-84.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Qu, H., Suphachalasai, S., Thube, S. and Walker, S. (2023). South Africa carbon pricing and climate mitigation policy, *IMF Selected Issues Paper* (SIP/2023/040). Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Roy, S. K., Shekhar, V., Quazi, A. & Quaddus, M. (2020). Consumer engagement behaviors: Do service convenience and organizational characteristics matter? *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 30(2), 195-232. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTP-03-2018-0049>
- Sachs, J., Lafortune, G., Fuller, G. & Drumm, E. (2023). Implementing the SDG stimulus. Sustainable. *Sustainable Development Report 2023*. Paris: SDSN, Dublin: Dublin University Press, 2023, 10.25546/102924.

- Saraiva, A., Fernandes, E. & von Schwedler, M. (2020). The green identity formation process in organic consumer communities: Environmental activism and consumer resistance. *Qualitative Market Research*, 23(1), 69-86. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QMR-05-2018-0048>
- Sarioglu, I.G. (2020). Customer citizenship behavior: Scale development and validation, *Management & Marketing*, 18(1), 57-74.
- Sheth, J., Sethia, N. & Srinivas, S. (2011). Mindful consumption: A customer-centric approach to sustainability. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(1), 21-39.
- Solability (2023). The GSCI. Retrieved from <https://solability.com/the-global-sustainable-competitiveness-index/the-index>. [Accessed 2 May 2024]
- Solesvik, M. (2017). A study of personal initiative as a mediator between self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intentions. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2017(1), 15614.
- Sutbayeva, R., Beisengaliyev, B., Madiyarova, D., Turekulova, A. & Kapenova, A. (2021). Impact of social economy on the environmental protection. *Journal of Environmental Management & Tourism*, 12(3), 690-702. [https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.v12.3\(51\).09](https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.v12.3(51).09)
- TIPS (2024). Climate change and trade risk: South Africa's trade with South Korea. *Trade & Industrial Policy Strategies*. Retrieved from <https://www.tips.org.za/research-archive/sustainable-growth/green-economy-2/item/3928-south-korea-climate-change-policy-framework>. [Accessed 3 May 2024]
- van Tonder, E., Saunders, S., Mwavita, M. & Kim, S. (2022). Customer helping and advocacy behaviors within dyadic financial service relationships: A gift-giving perspective. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 40(2), 221-241. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-04-2021-0134>
- van Tonder, E. & Petzer, D. J. (2018). Perspectives on “other” customers’ roles in citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(2), 393-408.
- van Tonder, E., Fullerton, S. & de Beer, L. (2020). Cognitive and emotional factors contributing to green customer citizenship behaviors: A moderated mediation model. *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 37(6), 639-650. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-06-2019-3268>
- Wilk, V., Soutar, G. & Harrigan, P. (2020). Online brand advocacy (OBA): The development of a multiple-item scale. *The Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 29(4), 415-429. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-10-2018-2090>
- Wim J., Katrien W., Patrick D. & Patrick V. (2008). *Marketing Research with SPSS*. Prentice Hall: Pearson Education.

Xie, L., Poon, P. & Zhang, W. (2017), Brand experience and customer citizenship behavior: the role of brand relationship quality. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 34(3), 268-280.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-02-2016-1726>

Yi, Y. & Gong, T. (2013), Customer value co-creation behavior: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(9), 1279-1284.

<https://doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.026>

Ziesemer, F., Hüttel, A. & Balderjahn, I. (2021). Young people as drivers or inhibitors of the sustainability movement: The case of anti-consumption. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 44(3), 427-453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10603-021-09489-x>